

**From:** Daliah Barrett <Daliah.Barrett@haringey.gov.uk> **On Behalf Of** Licensing  
**Sent:** 26 March 2026 08:36  
**To:** Nazyer Choudhury <Nazyer.Choudhury@haringey.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** FW: ADDENDUM Opposition to Licensing Application by BRCRDS Ltd for a premises license for Lordship Recreation Ground for Saturday 18th July 2026 (incl 7-10 days setting up and taking down time)

**Representation from – Other parties- Friends of Lordship Lane. App F**

**From:** Daliah Barrett **On Behalf Of** Licensing  
**Sent:** 20 March 2026 11:38  
**To:** Paddy Whur <  
**Subject:** Fw: ADDENDUM Opposition to Licensing Application by BRCRDS Ltd for a premises license for Lordship Recreation Ground for Saturday 18th July 2026 (incl 7-10 days setting up and taking down time)

Additional noise rep from Friends group.

Regards

Daliah

Sent from [Outlook for Android](#)

---

**From:** Dave Morris <  
**Sent:** Friday, March 20, 2026 10:22:43 am  
**To:** Licensing <[Licensing@haringey.gov.uk](mailto:Licensing@haringey.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** ADDENDUM Opposition to Licensing Application by BRCRDS Ltd for a premises license for Lordship Recreation Ground for Saturday 18th July 2026 (incl 7-10 days setting up and taking down time)

**ADDENDUM TO THE OBJECTION BELOW**

We also object to public nuisance caused by unacceptable noise levels.

Unfortunately we are unable to be specific about the proposed plans as we have not been sent any details, despite being co-managers of Lordship Rec. This in itself should render the application inadmissible.

However, any plan for noise levels above what is set out as acceptable in the Council's guidance for community events generally would be a clear public nuisance. Such public nuisance would be even greater if the plan involves constructing a stage in which there are any homes in sight within a 180 degree vista from that stage.

Please acknowledge receipt of this Objection and Addendum.

sincerely

Dave Morris

- Chair, Friends of Lordship
- Chair, Lordship Rec Users Forum

---

**Objection to Licensing Application by BRCRDS Ltd for a Premises License for Lordship Recreation Ground for Saturday 18th July 2026 (including 7-10 days setting up and taking down time)**

**Objection dated 20th March 2026 by Dave Morris - on behalf of the Lordship Rec Users Forum and the Friends of Lordship Rec**

1. The applicant is an individual who formed the aforementioned company on 28th January 2026 with £100 capital.
2. The company presumably represents Blanche London, who have applied to take over and fence off most of the main field in Lordship Rec, Tottenham's largest park, in the third week of July for 7-10 days for a 'pay to attend' for-profit event on July 18th.
3. The Friends of Lordship Rec, of which I am the Chair, is the community organisation for all local residents and park users. It was founded 25 years ago and currently has 1400 members. The Friends have worked in partnership with Haringey's Parks Service for 25 years to transform a neglected, semi-derelict and barely-used park into the great venue it is today. See this summary about the park, a map, and the community's role: <https://lordshiprec.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2026/03/FLR-Lordship-Rec-Flyer-3.2026.pdf>
4. The Friends have management responsibilities for many areas of the park, as set out in the Lordship Rec Conservation Action Plan 2022-2027 (C.A.P.) endorsed by Haringey Council. The Friends in fact are the body responsible for carrying out that agreed Plan. For example see pages 6 (extract below), 7 and 10 (for the areas the Friends are responsible for, including Compartment 6, 'Mixed Grasslands') of the C.A.P. <https://lordshiprec.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/LRUF-Lordship-Rec-CAP-2022-2027-1.pdf> .  
*" Lordship Rec is co-managed by The Friends of Lordship Rec and other on-site user groups, and Haringey Council Parks and Operations – supported by volunteers, including The Conservation Volunteers (TCV). This is coordinated through the Lordship Rec Users Forum." [Lordship Rec Conservation Action Plan 2022-2027, p6]*
5. As set out in the above C.A.P. [page 10], the Friends have management responsibilities for the 'Mixed Grasslands' including all those in the main field - the subject of this licensing application/objection.

6. The Lordship Rec Users Forum (LRUF), as set out in the above extract, has been the overall community body coordinating the transformation of the park with the Council since 2002. LRUF update here: <https://lordshiprec.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2026/02/LRUF-minutes-17.2.2026.pdf> Community involvement and empowerment has been central to that success, as enshrined in the Lordship Rec Management Plan 2015: <https://lordshiprec.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2026/01/Lordship-Rec-Management-Plan-2015-final-pdf.pdf> .

*" Since 2002 the LRUF has met monthly to coordinate the highly successful community/Council partnership-working, the efforts to improve the park, and to encourage the widest possible community engagement and empowerment "* [Extract from the Lordship Rec Management Plan, Para 7.7 on p78].

7. Despite the above-mentioned management responsibilities for the Friends and the LRUF the Council have so far, incredibly, refused to provide details of the proposed July event to us as we are supposedly 'external bodies', despite the fact that we co-manage the park. We have seen no Environmental Impact Assessment.

8. We have been strongly objecting since December to the July event and this licensing application.

**9. We object that the event planned will be a major public nuisance, for the reasons set out below**

a. It will exclude the people of Tottenham for a week or more from their main open space at the height of summer - a clear public nuisance. Yet the company could run this event in any one of thousands of appropriate venues anywhere in the UK.

b. The Friends and the LRUF, the bodies co-managing the Rec in partnership with the Council for last 25 years, have been excluded from any discussions. I submit that this failure to include and gain the assent of the co-management bodies should rule out any authorisation for the license or the event.

c. The Friends and the LRUF have pointed out a number of practical reasons for why such an event is inappropriate and damaging (ie a public nuisance), including that the main field:

- contains a range of valuable meadows/grasslands
- is unsuitable for heavy vehicle access as its in in a flood plain and has delicate and expensive flood-mitigation pipework just below the surface

[I note that a similar proposal objected to on similar grounds at the end of last year was eventually withdrawn]

d. The Council, the Friends and the LRUF have been discussing and preparing a major Lottery application (up to £4m) for improvements to the Rec. As with our successful joint bid in 2008, community empowerment and co-management will need to be central to this if there is to be any chance of success. If the Council and/or licensing panel allow the licensing application against the wishes of the Friends and the LRUF they will enable an inappropriate event which will seriously and probably irretrievably damage the relationship we've patiently built up over the last 25 years. This would certainly sabotage any future Lottery bid and potentially lose the Council £millions in inward investment opportunities. Such sabotage would constitute a colossal and ongoing public nuisance.

e. Treating with disrespect those who co-manage the Rec would also undermine decades of goodwill which has resulted in massive levels of community involvement and regular

volunteering in the Rec. In fact, the community (through staffing and volunteering) runs or helps run most of the areas, facilities and buildings in the Rec.

f. This application contravenes one of the Council's key corporate policies. In 2016 the Council proposed the demolition of the whole of Broadwater Farm, and building hundreds of houses on the main field of the Rec. Mass opposition to this, and to similar threats to other estates and facilities around Tottenham, were successful and led eventually to the Leader of the Council at that time (Claire Kober) and other key Cabinet Members being deselected (sacked) by their own party members. The new Cabinet elected in 2018 adopted and proudly promoted a policy of 'working with not against the community', and 'co-production'. See eg. 'Haringey 2035: Our Vision'

<https://www.minutes.haringey.gov.uk/documents/s147852/BoroughVision%20Cabinet.pdf>

Extract from p3: *" Together we are greater than the sum of our parts in terms of our reach and resources; and **at the heart of this vision is genuine co-production** and partnership. "[My emphasis]. ... " This Vision is just the start in setting out a longer-term direction of travel and we look forward to working with our residents and partners to deliver this on our journey to 2035."*

In the light of this event and its license application being clear and flagrant breach of Council policy, I submit that the Council does not have the mandate or authority to approve it.

g. I submit that an organisation (BRCRDS Ltd and/or Blanche London) which clearly has no respect or concern for the site they wish to exploit for financial gain, or for those involved in co-managing that site, is not a fit 'person' to be given a licence.

9. Finally, I request copies of all relevant documents before any hearing on this takes place, and the right to address that hearing.

There's still time for common sense, official obligations and written commitments to prevail. We have a great and inspiring partnership and co-production story to tell - don't ruin that.

sincerely

Dave Morris

- Chair, Friends of Lordship  
- Chair, Lordship Rec